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INTRODUCTION

Syncope or “fainting” is defined as a total loss of consciousness due to transient global cerebral hypoperfusion characterized by a short duration, fast onset and a spontaneous complete recovery [5]. Today, this definition of syncope has been set by the European Society of Cardiology in 2001 [6] and thereby excluding mimics of syncope such as epilepsy, intoxication, hypoglycemia, cerebral insults etc. This allows more stringent comparisons of future studies but the implementation of this definition in the discharge coding of patients is unknown. Syncope is a common medical condition associated with frequent hospitalizations and decreased quality-of-life [7-13]. It is a symptom that is generally difficult to evaluate and is associated with a high mortality rate in selected subgroups of patients [14-20]. The specific cause of syncope is naturally related to the outcome, which is a problem in epidemiological research as the cause of syncope is rarely known or reported. Epidemiological research of syncope is important to identify specific risks in patients in order to strengthen the use of risk stratification and prognostic tools and in the end to lower hospitalization, diagnostic costs and reduce mortality.

Hospital discharge diagnoses are frequently used to identify subjects in epidemiological observational studies based on national registries and at discharge each hospital contact is coded with one primary diagnosis and if appropriate one or more secondary diagnoses according to the ICD-10 system. Syncope is in the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) coding system coded as R55.9 (‘syncope and collapse’). Prospective syncope observational programs are generally rare, primarily because of the high cost and therefore administrative registries have become a highly sought source of data for disease observation, assessment of health resource consumption and evaluation of outcomes. The potential benefits of administrative databases are their sizes and rich contents, particularly when combined with other administrative databases. The use of registry based data provide information on patients with syncope but lacks important clinical variables and evaluation of the etiology. Furthermore for the reliable use of registry data, validation is required for optimal data interpretation. We examined the use and validity of the registries for the diagnosis of syncope in Paper I and II.

Epidemiology

Syncope comprises approximately one percent of all attendances to European emergency departments (ED) and up to six percent of all hospital admissions [7, 8, 21, 22]. The reported incidence is known to be distributed in a bimodal fashion with peaks in the young and the elderly with incidence rates ranging from 2.6 to 19.5 per 1000 person-years [14, 23, 24].
To reliably report the prognosis of syncope, comparable definitions of etiological categories between studies has to be used, but the retrospective studies conducted are diverse in this field. The prevalence of the causes of syncope differs depending on the clinical setting in which the patient is evaluated. Furthermore as noted, within recent years, the definition of syncope has changed and older studies have previously included unconsciousness due to head trauma or cerebral insults.

The often cited Framingham offspring study [14] provided some data on prognosis from the general population—basing the etiology of syncope on patients’ recollection of symptoms, revealing a large proportion (21%) of syncope to be reflex, 10% to be orthostatic hypotension, 10% were cardiac and 37% were unexplained. Several studies conducted in EDs [7, 9, 25-28] found wide ranges in these etiological allocations, but generally reflex syncope was the most frequent cause, orthostatic hypotension was rare among younger patients and common among the elderly. Cardiac syncope was generally associated with higher ages and was more frequent in cardiology settings.

**Cardiovascular disease and syncope**

Previous studies have shown that patients with cardiac syncope have increased mortality compared to patients with non-cardiac syncope [14, 18, 29]. Cardiac arrhythmias are the most common cardiac cause of syncope. The arrhythmias, particularly in patients with inadequate vascular response mechanisms, reduce cardiac output causing hemodynamic instability and consequently decreasing the cerebral blood flow [30, 31]. If the arrhythmia and inadequate hemodynamics persists and no recovery is obtained syncope develops into cardiac arrest. Syncope caused by structural cardiac disease is typically caused by a dynamic obstruction of left ventricular blood flow due to hypertrophy, valvular aortic stenosis among others. The interwoven relationship of structural cardiac disease, arrhythmias and inappropriate vasomotor response, however, poses a diagnostic challenge and the mechanism is often deemed multifactorial.

**Non-cardiovascular disease and syncope**

Non-cardiovascular syncope is broadly categorized into two major groups, reflex mediated syncope and orthostatic hypotension, which are pathophysiological distinct. The main risk of non-cardiac syncope seems related to physical harm that may occur especially if the patient has recurrent events [32, 33] and most of the deaths and poor outcomes of non-cardiovascular syncope seem associated with the severity of the underlying disease rather than the syncope in itself [19, 34]. Differentiation between benign and malignant causes remains challenging and numerous of the previous studies are limited by small cohorts and by the setting of either tertiary syncope clinics or emergency departments introducing selection bias. The pathophysiological classification is summarized in Figure 1.

Figure 1. The pathophysiological basis of syncope classification (after Moya et al.[5]).

Despite the high incidence of syncope, very few studies have evaluated the characteristics of morbidity and pharmacotherapy in patients with syncope and little is known about the relationship between syncope and underlying (pre-disposing) conditions. We examined this relationship in Paper III. The primary purpose in the evaluation of the patient with syncope is to determine whether the patient is at increased risk and implement preventive measures. Previous studies have shown conflicting results regarding the risk of death [5, 14, 17-19, 23, 29, 35-40], and limited data reflect the risk of syncope in patients who are otherwise healthy. We tested the hypothesis in Paper IV, that individuals who are otherwise healthy have increased risk of death and cardiovascular adverse outcome after an episode of syncope compared to the background population.

The CHADS2 score is a validated clinical tool used for prediction of stroke in the presence of atrial fibrillation and used to guide initiation of oral anticoagulant therapy. The score evaluates the risk of stroke through the sum of certain individual risk factors for stroke (Congestive heart failure, Hypertension, Age ≥ 75 years, Diabetes and previous Stroke [doubled]) [41, 42]. The CHADS2 score may, however, also be predictive of myocardial infarction and cardiovascular mortality [43-47]. Syncope patients, particularly with cardiovascular disease remain at high risk of arrhythmias and sudden death [48-50], with risk of death markedly higher than the general population [14]. The association between CHADS2 score and the prevalence of death in the post-syncope setting is unknown and consequently we tested the hypothesis in Paper V that the CHADS2 score predicts long and short term cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in syncope and we evaluated if the CHADS2 score may be applicable in risk stratification.

The overall purpose of the present thesis was to use the Danish registries for detailed information on incidence, prevalence, comorbidities and prognosis of syncope in large scale and to establish the validity and etiology of the diagnosis in a representative cohort.
OBJECTIVES
The present thesis aimed to investigate the following:

- Analyze the use, validity and accuracy of the ICD-10 diagnosis of syncope R55.9 in the National Patient Registry for the use of this diagnosis in the epidemiology of syncope (Paper I).
  Hypothesis: R55.9 is a valid discharge diagnosis with a high positive predictive value.

- Retrospective analysis on the diagnostics used and etiology of a random selection of patients who had a discharge diagnosis of R55.9 (Paper II).
  Hypothesis: The etiology of syncope is difficult to establish even with the wide use of diagnostic tests.

- Analysis of the incidence, prevalence and cardiovascular factors associated with the risk of syncope (Paper III).
  Hypothesis: Syncope is a common cause for hospital contact in Denmark and patients have higher morbidity and receive markedly more medications than a comparable background population without syncope.

- The prognosis in healthy individuals discharged after syncope (Paper IV).
  Hypothesis: Syncope is associated with an unfavorable prognosis even in healthy persons across age groups.

- Prognosis of patients after syncope and evaluation of the CHADS2 score as a tool for short and long-term risk prediction (Paper V).
  Hypothesis: The use of the CHADS2 score can predict the outcome of syncope patients, improving clinical risk stratification.

METHODS

Local registries
Hospital patient management systems: ‘Opus Work-place 2005, CSC Scandihealth System’ (Opus) and ‘Grønt System’ (GS) have information on individual patient data such as name, personal registration number (CPR), admission dates and departments, discharge diagnoses and procedural codes as well as many other data, i.e. address, marital status and phone number. Data from Opus and Grønt System was available for three hospitals in Denmark; Gentofte, Herlev and Glostrup Hospitals from 1st of January 2007 to 1st of December 2011. Individual charts are accessible through Opus using the personal CPR number for each patient for review of the chart. Here the quality relies on the descriptions made by the physicians in the charts on information such as medication, history and diseases. Only a few tests performed were available through Opus (x-rays, electrocardiograms, lab results) since raw data results from other tests are stored in separate databases. Results of these tests were, however, usually described in the written charts in Opus and thus accessible for data collection.

For Paper I and II data from Opus, concerning patients discharged with the specific diagnosis of R55.9 (750 patients) denoted Sample-1, was collected by one single investigator (Martin Huth Ruwald) on a pre-specified database with pre-specified variables. Data concerning all patients discharged with various discharge codes from all medical admissions from 1st of January 2008 to 31st of January 2008 (5262 patients), denoted Sample-2, were collected by all authors of Paper I. The charts were divided amongst the authors who all used the latest definition of syncope according to the European Society of Cardiology [5] as a screening tool for syncope in the recorded history of the actual cause of hospitalization or referral. This sample was used for calculation of the sensitivity, negative predictive value and specificity. Inter-observer variation was done by blinding two reviewers and comparing their results from the review of 200 charts.

All charts from discharged patients in Sample-1 were read independently, reviewing all notes from the physician history and physical examination, physician notes during rounds and at discharge, imaging reports, laboratory tests, electrocardiogram or echocardiography reports, and other investigations (e.g., tilt-testing, electrophysiological studies, orthostatic hypotension test or carotid sinus massage). The most likely cause of the syncope according to the discharging physician was noted both at the initial discharge and after workup. The workup of each individual patient was reviewed during December 2011 by examining the patient charts from the date of the initial syncope, which had the discharge diagnosis of R55.9 in the study period. The etiology was determined and noted if definite diagnosis was made within the study period, otherwise the syncope had to be classified as unknown.

Of the three hospitals, one is a major center of cardiology with a specialized syncope unit and catheter laboratory for pacemaker implantations, coronary angiography and cardiac ablations, while the other two are representative of large volume hospitals with large open-referral emergency departments and designated departments of internal medicine and neurology.

Nationwide registries
Denmark possesses nationwide and complete administrative registers providing a wide range of health care related variables. The registers are built on an individual level and access to anonymous data from these registers (for Paper III, IV and V) was reached via a secure encrypted access to Statistics Denmark. The CPR registry includes information on date of birth and gender of all individuals living in Denmark. The Danish National Patient Register includes information on dates and causes of all hospitalizations in Denmark since 1978 [51]. Every hospitalization was registered according to the international Classification of Diseases system (www.who.int/classifications/icd/en); the 8th version between 1978 and 1993 and the 10th version from 1994 and onwards. The diagnoses of myocardial infarction, heart failure, stroke, bleeding and renal disease have previously been validated and used widely [52-58]. The validity of R55.9 has not been done previously and therefore this was performed in Paper I.

The Danish Register of Medicinal Product Statistics includes data on every dispensed prescription in Denmark since 1995 [59]. All drugs are registered on the Anatomical Therapeutic Classification (ATC) system with information available on date of dispensing and amount of tablets dispensed. Because of partial reimbursement of drug expenses, the accuracy of the register is very good [59]. The following ATC codes were used for identification of pharmacotherapy up to 180 days prior to syncope in the present...
thesis and Paper III, IV and V: statins (C10A), beta-blockers (C07), angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (C09), loop diuretics (C03C), spironolactone (C03D), thiazides (C03A), calcium channel blockers (C08), digoxin (C01AA05), class I antiarrhythmic drugs (C01BC), class III antiarrhythmic drugs (C01BD and C07AA) class IV antiarrhythmic drugs (C08DA), morphine (N02AA), glucose lowering medication (A10), clopidogrel (B01AC04), acetylsalicylic acid (B01AA01), vitamin K antagonists (B01AA0), antiepileptic drugs (N03), antiparkinson drugs (N04), antidepressives (N06A), sedatives and anxiolytics (N05B, N05C), antipsychotic agents (N05A), bronchial dilators (R04) and alpha-blockers (C02C).

Codes and combinations of codes from The Danish National Patient Register and The Danish Register of Medicinal Product Statistics were used in the description of comorbidity and pharmacotherapy as follows in Paper III, IV and V. Identification and information on comorbidities related to syncope up to 5 years prior to initial date for syncope admission or ED visit was based on hospital discharge diagnosis codes according to a modified Charlson’s Comorbidity Index [60, 61]. We obtained information through the Danish National Patient Register based on the primary diagnosis for the following ICD-10 codes: peripheral vascular disease (I70, I74), cerebral vascular disease (I60-69), ischemic heart disease (I20-25), previous myocardial infarction (I21-22), cardiac conduction disorders (I44-45), atrial fibrillation (I48), other cardiac arrhythmias (I47, I49), heart failure (I50-52), chronic renal failure (N18), diabetes (E10-14), pulmonary edema (J31), shock (R57, A41), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (J42-44), dementia (G30), malignancies and metastatic cancer (C00-97). Hypertension was based on at least two prescriptions in the classes of antihypertensive treatment as defined and validated earlier [42] by: α adrenergic blockers (C02A, C02B, C02C), non-loop diuretics (C02DA, C02L, C03A, C03B, C03D, C03E, C03X, C07C, C07D, C08G, C09BA, C09DA, C09XAS2), vasodilators (C02DB, C02DD, C02DG, C04, C05), β blockers (C07), calcium channel blockers (C07F, C08, C09BB, C09DB), and renin-angiotensin system inhibitors (C09).

The National Causes of Deaths Register includes information about all deaths occurring in Denmark (date of occurrence and causes; primary or contributing, coded according to the ICD-10 system). The validity of the register for specific causes of deaths has not been investigated.

Study populations and population definitions

Paper I
We retrospectively identified a cohort of subjects who underwent hospitalization and discharge for syncope according to the R55.9 diagnosis (primary discharge diagnosis only) in the period from January 1st 2007 to 31st of December 2010, identified through the electronic patient management system (Opus and G5). A total of 1,223 charts were identified, 23 (2%) charts were insufficient for documentation or the chart could not be accessed by the reviewer. From this overall syncope population of 1,200, we randomly selected 50% from each hospital of the total discharged patients for individual chart review, while a random selection of 50 patients per hospital for a total of 150 was used for emergency department validation. Of the 600 admitted patients, 570 (95%) satisfied the definition of total loss of consciousness and syncope [5] and entered the analysis and baseline model. Outcomes for Paper II were primarily etiology in terms of the most likely cause of the syncope according to the discharging physician as noted both after initial admission and after workup. Secondary measures were types and number of tests performed during workup.

Paper III
From the Danish National Patient Register we identified all Danish residents with a first-time hospitalization for syncope, R55.9, when classified as the primary discharge diagnosis between January 1st 1997 and December 31st 2009. All hospital admissions, ED contacts and non-acute referrals i.e. outpatients were included. To assess differences in comorbidity, hospital admissions and pharmacotherapy among syncope patients and the general population, every syncope patient was matched on age and sex with 5 random controls from the Danish population. The controls were assigned the same date of syncope as the case they were matched upon. Patients were stratified according to comorbidity, pharmacotherapy and age. Patients with one of the following diseases were grouped as having cardiovascular disease: ischemic heart disease, cerebral vascular disease, previous myocardial infarction, cardiac arrhythmias, electrical conduction disorders, pulmonary edema, congestive heart failure, cardiogenic shock, peripheral vascular disease, diabetes and atrial fibrillation. Patients not included in this group were categorized as having non-cardiovascular disease. Prescriptions claimed for the following agents were categorized as cardiovascular specific medication: anti-angina medication, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, digoxin, class I antiarrhythmic drugs, class III antiarrhythmic drugs, class IV antiarrhythmic drugs, calcium channel blockers, beta-blockers, cholesterol lowering agents, vitamin K antagonists, clopidogrel, glucose lowering medication and diuretics. Prescriptions claimed for the residual medications were categorized as non-cardiovascular medication.

Paper IV
From the Danish National Patient Register we identified patients with the primary discharge diagnosis code R55.9 either after an admission or an emergency department visit between January 1st 2001 and December 31st 2009. To define the population of patients with no comorbidity we therefore excluded all patients previously hospitalized (up to five years prior to admission) for comorbidities listed in ‘Methods’ by the modified Charlson’s Comorbidity index and of selected pharmacotherapy of importance defined by records of claimed prescriptions up to 180 days prior to admission for any of the following drugs: beta-blockers (C07), angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (C09), loop diuretics (C03C), spironolactone (C03D), thiazides (C03A), calcium channel blockers (C08), digoxin
Findings with a two-sided p-value below 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. Patient demographics at baseline were the same as in Paper I. Age, distributed in a non-gaussian fashion, was expressed as median and interquartile ranges. T-test and non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test were used where appropriate on variables. Comparison between proportions was by means of the Chi-square test. For patients included in the chart review, information was collected on the abestation form regarding the use of diagnostic test and treatment procedures was reported by frequencies and descriptively.

Paper V

Data protection

The studies were accepted by the Danish Data Protection Agency (ref. 2007-58-0015, int. ref: GEH-2010-001). Ethical approval is not required for register based studies in Denmark and all data are anonymous and encrypted.

Statistical analysis

The analyses in all papers were performed using SAS statistical software package, version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Findings with a two-sided p-value below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Paper I

Patient demographics were analyzed for all patients identified as having syncope based on the administrative coding in the database. Student’s t-tests and chi-square tests were used to assess baseline differences between patients included in the chart review. The validity of the coding was described as the positive predictive value defined as the proportion of patients with an administrative coding of syncope that actually had the condition by a positive history of syncope in the chart. The sensitivity was calculated in Sample-1 by dividing the total amount of patients with syncope recorded as R55.9 with the total amount of syncope as found in the review.

Paper II

All patients discharged from emergency departments with a primary discharge diagnosis code R55.9 were identified in the period between January 1st 2001 and December 31st 2009. The patients were risk stratified according to the CHADS2 score. Every syncope patient was matched on age and sex with 5 random controls as defined in Paper III.

Information on comorbidity was collected as noted in ‘Methods’.

The CHADS2 score was calculated with one point given for each of the following parameters if present at inclusion according to information on comorbidity: Congestive heart failure (CHF), hypertension, age ≥ 75 years and diabetes. Two points were given for prior transient ischemic attack (TIA) or stroke. CHF was defined as a combination of a diagnosis with CHF and the use of loop diuretics as done previously [62]. Patients were defined as having hypertension if receiving at least two types of antihypertensive drugs as noted in ‘Methods’ and done previously [42]. We defined diabetes mellitus as a claimed prescription for a glucose lowering drug (ATC code A10) and/or admission for diabetes with or without complications (E10-14) as done in previous studies [63]. The primary outcome measures were one week, one year and long-term cardiovascular death and all-cause mortality.

Data protection

The studies were accepted by the Danish Data Protection Agency (ref. 2007-58-0015, int. ref: GEH-2010-001). Ethical approval is not required for register based studies in Denmark and all data are anonymous and encrypted.

Statistical analysis

The analyses in all papers were performed using SAS statistical software package, version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Findings with a two-sided p-value below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
tests. Two Cox proportional regression analyses were used. One to determine hazard ratios and their 95% confidence intervals for measured end points using CHADS2 = 0 as reference group in the patient population. The adjusted hazard ratios have been adjusted for year of admission, sex and baseline comorbidities excluding those involved in the CHADS2 risk score calculation. The second Cox model was used to compare between the individual CHADS2 groups in the patients with the CHADS2 groups in the controls. This model was adjusted for sex, age, year of admission and baseline comorbidities. In all Cox regression models, the model assumptions (proportional hazards, linearity of continuous covariates, and lack of interactions) were found to be valid.

MAIN RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

**Paper I**

**Results**

In the review of a total of 150 ED charts and 600 charts from discharged patients with syncope (R55.9) 140 and 570 cases were found fulfilling syncope criteria, respectively. The calculated positive predictive value was 93% in the ED and 95% in admitted patients. The sensitivity was calculated through the review of 5262 charts revealing 75 (1.4%) cases of syncope of which 47 (62.7%) were coded as R55.9. The negative predictive value and the specificity were 99% (Figure 1:1).

**Figure 1:1. Relationship between terms in syncope.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>True Positive</th>
<th>False Positive</th>
<th>True Negative</th>
<th>False Negative</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R55.9</td>
<td>TP = 47</td>
<td>FP = 2</td>
<td>TN = 5185</td>
<td>FN = 28</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
<td>99.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

ICD-10 coding for the identification of those with total loss of consciousness and syncope who visit the ED or who are admitted to hospital, is highly specific. ICD-10 coding R55.9 can be used with a positive predictive value of 95% and a sensitivity of 63%.

**Paper II**

**Results**

After assessment of 570 admitted patients the final diagnosis after workup was reflex syncope 21% and cardiac cause 18% Orthostatic hypotension accounted for 10%, other non-syncopal causes 4% and ultimately 48% remained of unknown etiology (Table 2:1). The mean number of tests per patient was 4.7 (SD: +/- 2.0).

**Conclusion**

The discharge diagnosis of R55.9 covers wide etiological manifestations, but mostly, it covers syncope due to unknown cause even after work-up. Many tests were used in the care path and more studies are needed to improve patient selection.

**Paper III**

**Results**

In the period from 1997 to 2009, a total of 127,508 patients were either seen in the ED, hospitalized or handled as outpatients due to syncope according to the Danish National Patient Register. Syncope accounted for 0.9% of the total admissions in the period and 0.6% of the total ED visits. The age distribution of the patients showed two large peaks and one smaller peak (Figure 3:1). The first peak was represented primarily by females around 20 years of age, a second and quite smaller peak in older patients around 60 years of age and a third peak around 80 years of age. The third peak was left shifted in males compared to females; peaking 5-7 years earlier.

**Figure 3:1. Distribution of syncope according to gender and age.**

The incidence rates showed a bimodal distribution being higher in the youngest and highest in the elderly, with a distinct rise at 70 years to 40.2 per 1000 person-years increasing to 81.2 in the age group above 80 years (shown in Figure 3:2).
The risk of syncope in patients in presence of concomitant cardiovascular disease and specific cardiovascular medication was significantly increased across all age groups and more pronounced in the young (Table 3:1).

### Conclusion
Cardiovascular comorbidity and pharmacotherapy was significantly associated with the risk of syncope. The incidence rates were markedly higher than previously reported and the age distribution of syncope differed according to gender.

### Paper IV
#### Results
We identified 88,335 patients with syncope of which 37,017 patients had no known previous hospitalization for comorbidities and no concomitant use of selected pharmacotherapy. Compared to an age and gender matched background population the long-term mortality was significantly increased in the syncope population across all age groups less than 75 years (Figure 4:1).

Figure 4:1. Cumulative incidence curve in selected age groups comparing healthy individuals with syncope to the age and gender matched background population. Inserted in the graph are the hazard ratios (HR) from the multivariate Cox regression analyses of two selected age groups (25-44 years) and (45-74 years).

The results also showed that syncope as a first symptom significantly predicted several adverse cardiac outcomes such as an increased risk of stroke, pacemaker or defibrillator implantation and cardiovascular hospitalizations.

### Conclusion
The first discharge after syncope in a population without prior comorbidity significantly predicted the risk of all-cause mortality, stroke, cardiovascular hospitalization, device implantation and recurrent syncope. The study suggested that syncope in seemingly healthy persons may be a first symptom of more severe underlying cardiovascular disease.

### Paper V
#### Results
A total of 37,705 syncope patients from emergency departments and 188,525 controls were included in the study. Increasing CHADS2 score was significantly associated in a proportional manner with increased cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in adjusted analyses. Overall there was a low absolute risk of death due to cardiovascular cause in patients with a CHADS2 score of 0, but on short as well as long-term syncope patients were at higher risk of death. Comparing the CHADS2 syncope population with the control population it was evident that syncope per se was associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular death thus yielding additional prognostic information than CHADS2 score in controls, while however a CHADS2 score of 5-6 did not provide prognostic value (Table 5:1).
During admission and workup were demonstrated in a relatively high number of unexplained cases (50%) despite workup and the use of many tests. The findings of etiology were in agreement with the results from other smaller retrospective and prospective studies [7, 25-28, 33, 69, 70], but importantly—these studies were not based on the ICD-10 code of syncope. Therefore the case definition of syncope was not completely comparable. Generally, Paper II found a higher percentage of unexplained cases of syncope than the other studies, which could be explained by the fact that the discharging physician after discharge or workup had to account for etiology, and if not claimed in the chart, the case was classified as unknown. Another explanation lies in the general use of R55.9 as discharge diagnosis. As previously stated one third of syncope cases is represented by other discharge diagnoses, most likely if explanation of the syncope is discovered during the admission period, i.e. ventricular tachycardia, myocardial infarction or orthostatic hypotension etc. Thus, there may be a higher concentration of unexplained etiology inherited in the routine use of R55.9. Paper II showed that the use of diagnostic tests in this setting was high and not according to guideline recommendations [5, 71, 72], thus comparable to other smaller studies [70, 73-76]. In perspective, the absence of a gold standard clinical test able to provide an easy, cheap and certain diagnosis and the extensive use of multiple diagnostic tests, termed the ‘shotgun approach’, contributes to increased costs and use of medical resources. The results from Paper III demonstrated that the risk of syncope is closely associated with the use of cardiovascular medications and concomitant cardiovascular disease, particularly in the young. This is consistent with common perception, but the association has not been well established in larger studies and data is sparse in unexplained syncope [77, 78]. The increased risk of syncope associated with cardiovascular disease or use of cardiovascular medications in the younger age groups, where reflex syncope is very prevalent, is a new finding. Paper III also established clear and significantly higher incidence rates of first syncope than previously reported [14, 16, 22, 23] even from the general population. The fraction of syncope as defined by R55.9 in the emergency departments and hospital departments are consistent with recent larger studies from the US and Europe [7, 17]. The high incidence rates were found despite the fact that we only counted the initial syncope and did not take recurrent admissions into account, and secondarily that R55.9, as noted previously, missed one third of all cases. The real fraction of syncope of all visits to emergency departments and admissions is thus believed to be even higher than reported in Paper III. Syncope was more common in the elderly, females and generally a diagnosis associated with considerable comorbidity. Finally a novel age distribution of syncope was described for hospitalized patients and revealed a gender related parallel shift in the third peak, where the distribution of men suffering syncope peaked five years earlier than for women, representing an age difference in the general disease burden.

In Paper IV we evaluated the prognosis of healthy individuals after syncope. The main finding was that syncope per se was associated with higher risk of death when compared to a background population with various degrees of comorbidities. Furthermore, syncope was associated with increased risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes and hospitalization. The absolute risk of death in this low risk population was indeed very low and not that much different from the control group. This is in agreement with smaller studies suggesting that syncope (generalized to all etiologies) is rather benign and that excess mortality is driven by cardiovascular comorbidities [17, 19, 39]. However the interpreta-

---

**DISCUSSION**

In the present thesis, the epidemiology of syncope was investigated using local and national Danish registries. Through a hypothesis-based approach basic epidemiological factors of syncope were studied in a diverse field of pitfalls. The prognosis and outcome of syncope is tightly coupled to the cause, (which often remains unknown). Taken that into account, many things profoundly associated with the cause, such as electrocardiogram and precise history, were unavailable in the registries. Furthermore, it was not known whether the diagnosis ‘syncope’ consisted of other conditions, i.e. dizziness, head trauma, vertigo, hypoglycemia etc. in the patient registries. To fully describe and investigate the epidemiology of syncope on these conditions, validation had to be done.

In Paper I, validation of the discharge diagnosis R55.9 was performed in a large retrospective study of patient charts from emergency departments and hospital admissions. The study in Paper I was the first to systematically validate the discharge diagnosis of syncope, thus strengthening the general use of the ICD-10 diagnosis of syncope in epidemiological research. Other validation studies have examined various discharge diagnoses, and as a general rule the sensitivity and positive predictive values cannot be extrapolated to all diseases or discharge diagnoses, as these are being used differently in different settings [64-68]. Taken the findings of Paper I into consideration, the high positive predictive value suggests that the coding of syncope can be used to identify patients with syncope in administrative databases with a high level of accuracy. Accordingly a small percentage of the patients are non-syncopal total loss of consciousness or other conditions. Finally, approximately one third of all patients with syncope are represented by other ICD-10 diagnoses, some as specific cardiac diagnoses, others as unspecific observational codes.

In Paper II, the etiology of syncope and use of diagnostic tests during admission and workup were demonstrated in a relatively large sample size. Major finding of this study was the relatively high number of unexplained cases (50%) despite workup and the use of many tests. The findings of etiology were in agreement with the results from other smaller retrospective and prospective studies [7, 25-28, 33, 69, 70], but importantly—these studies were not based on the ICD-10 code of syncope. Therefore the case definition of syncope was not completely comparable. Generally, Paper II found a higher percentage of unexplained cases of syncope than the other studies, which could be explained by the fact that the discharging physician after discharge or workup had to account for etiology, and if not claimed in the chart, the case was classified as unknown. Another explanation lies in the general use of R55.9 as discharge diagnosis. As previously stated one third of syncope cases is represented by other discharge diagnoses, most likely if explanation of the syncope is discovered during the admission period, i.e. ventricular tachycardia, myocardial infarction or orthostatic hypotension etc. Thus, there may be a higher concentration of unexplained etiology inherited in the routine use of R55.9. Paper II showed that the use of diagnostic tests in this setting was high and not according to guideline recommendations [5, 71, 72], thus comparable to other smaller studies [70, 73-76]. In perspective, the absence of a gold standard clinical test able to provide an easy, cheap and certain diagnosis and the extensive use of multiple diagnostic tests, termed the ‘shotgun approach’, contributes to increased costs and use of medical resources. The results from Paper III demonstrated that the risk of syncope is closely associated with the use of cardiovascular medications and concomitant cardiovascular disease, particularly in the young. This is consistent with common perception, but the association has not been well established in larger studies and data is sparse in unexplained syncope [77, 78]. The increased risk of syncope associated with cardiovascular disease or use of cardiovascular medications in the younger age groups, where reflex syncope is very prevalent, is a new finding. Paper III also established clear and significantly higher incidence rates of first syncope than previously reported [14, 16, 22, 23] even from the general population. The fraction of syncope as defined by R55.9 in the emergency departments and hospital departments are consistent with recent larger studies from the US and Europe [7, 17]. The high incidence rates were found despite the fact that we only counted the initial syncope and did not take recurrent admissions into account, and secondarily that R55.9, as noted previously, missed one third of all cases. The real fraction of syncope of all visits to emergency departments and admissions is thus believed to be even higher than reported in Paper III. Syncope was more common in the elderly, females and generally a diagnosis associated with considerable comorbidity. Finally a novel age distribution of syncope was described for hospitalized patients and revealed a gender related parallel shift in the third peak, where the distribution of men suffering syncope peaked five years earlier than for women, representing an age difference in the general disease burden.

In Paper IV we evaluated the prognosis of healthy individuals after syncope. The main finding was that syncope per se was associated with higher risk of death when compared to a background population with various degrees of comorbidities. Furthermore, syncope was associated with increased risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes and hospitalization. The absolute risk of death in this low risk population was indeed very low and not that much different from the control group. This is in agreement with smaller studies suggesting that syncope (generalized to all etiologies) is rather benign and that excess mortality is driven by cardiovascular comorbidities [17, 19, 39]. However the interpreta-

---

**TABLE 5.1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHADS2 score</th>
<th>Heart failure</th>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>Age ≥75</th>
<th>Stroke</th>
<th>Transient ischemic attack</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Atrial fibrillation</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total syncope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**

The CHADS2 score significantly predicts short and long-term all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients discharged after syncope. Syncope was associated with increased risk of death but in patients with very high CHADS2 scores (5-6) syncope only predicted long-term all-cause mortality compared to the background population. A CHADS2 score of 0 was associated with a very low absolute mortality but a higher relative risk than that of the background population suggesting that other significant risk factors are of importance in a syncope population.
tation of the increased risk in mortality in certain age groups deserves extra attention. It may not be safe to rely on a history of no comorbidity when evaluating a patient with syncope. The relative risk of death as well as the other outcomes in these patients was increased and even these low risk patients with no apparent comorbidities at presentation need to be carefully evaluated. This underlines the difficulties associated with the assessment of syncope and why there is a need for proper risk stratification in these patients in order to balance the limited health care resources [79].

In Paper V we tested the hypothesis that the CHADS2 score is a useful tool for risk stratification of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality. The CHADS2 score predicted short and long-term mortality in this population which was expected as the CHADS2 score probably predicts mortality in many subsets of populations [43-47]. The evaluation of the score revealed that the CHADS2 score may provide additional prognostic information on risk stratification in syncope patients when compared to a control population stratified by the same CHADS2 scores. Also importantly Paper V showed that a CHADS2 score of 0 represented a very low absolute risk, but on the other hand that a score of 0 was associated with a worse prognosis in patients with syncope. An important factor of these results was the low mean age of the population with a CHADS2 score of 0 and that the score does not take other important covariates into account. Taken into consideration the results from Paper IV, where syncope, as the only factor in otherwise healthy people, remained an independent risk factor of mortality and development of cardiovascular disease, it is not recommended to rely on a CHADS2 of 0 as a safe prognostic marker. Secondly the CHADS2 score did not proportionally predict the mortality in the very high scores of 5-6, making it a tool too simple to predict mortality in general. In the past 10 years several other risk-stratification schemes for syncope have emerged. The schemes include the OESIL score [80], the San Francisco rule [81], the STePS study [29], the Rose rule [82], and the Boston criteria [83, 84]. They resulted in different covariates of prognostic value and consequently the guidelines tried to incorporate all of them [5]. None have been widely implemented; they have not improved diagnostic discrimination and have failed validation [85-87]. Clinicians are thus in dire need for proper prospective studies, pre-specified to assess risk stratification in the emergency department in various subsets of patient populations on short-term risk (<1 week) and long-term risk.

In general most of the recommendations in the management of syncope patients are based on class IC and IIC levels of evidence, reflecting the difficulties of dealing with syncope, not only in daily practice but also in larger studies, randomized or observational. The papers presented in this thesis reflect this divergence but also, as we have demonstrated, in low risk patients.

LIMITATIONS AND EVALUATION OF METHODS IN THE THESIS

Observational studies are able to detect small, but clinically significant differences between groups quickly and with limited resources compared to randomized controlled trials (RCT). Observational studies reflect real-life settings to a higher degree than RCTs which makes the generalization more straightforward and often provide new information and questions that can later be evaluated in RCTs. There are several important limitations of the methods used in the present papers of this thesis. All studies were retrospective and based on local and national registries. Many clinically important and relevant covariates are missing in the national registries (i.e. creatinine levels, biomarkers such as NT-proBNP, weight, blood pressure, echocardiograms and electrocardiograms) and may confound the results. In Paper II, we have information on these covariates and these may be representative proportionately, but not useful on the individual level for the large registries. All validation from the local registries was performed on pre-existing charts written or described by physicians with different quality. Many factors in a clinically useful description of a syncopeal event could be lost depending on the quality of work and experience of the given physician.

A specific limitation of the sensitivity of the diagnosis in the national registries was acquired and thus taken into account in the conclusions of Paper III-V from the validation made in Paper I. Sensitivity of the diagnosis plays an important role, mainly because the severity of syncope may be underestimated in prognostic studies as one third of patients with syncope receive other, and sometimes more severe diagnoses, i.e. heart failure, aortic stenosis, myocardial infarction etc. This is particularly important in Paper V, as the evaluation of the CHADS2 score did not seem to predict excess mortality in the CHADS2 score of 5-6 compared to the controls. In general this may be due to important selection bias towards non-cardiac conditions, essentially biasing the results. Other major limitations in the registries are unvalidated causes of death in the registry along with less autopsies being performed. In the three Papers based on national registries we used arbitrary cut-off limits of when patients were on baseline pharmacotherapy. We used prescriptions redeemed within 180 days before admission, but the actual accuracy of this approach is completely unknown. The cut-off limits of five years of previous discharges set as the limit of baseline comorbidity is just as arbitrary and we do not know the actual accuracy of this approach either. We mention that many of the discharge diagnoses are well validated, but these were all validated in different ways and none of them were validated with limits of comorbidity set at 5 years prior to admission'. A general limitation in epidemiological studies is also the risk of unmeasured confounding. Even though we take many confounders into account in the adjusted models, unmeasured confounding may still bias the results.

Future research

As noted briefly, prospective larger trials of patients with syncope should be undertaken with the goal of optimal risk stratification. For high risk cardiac patients with syncope, but with unclear implantable defibrillator indications implantable loop recorders may answer critical questions in terms of short term risks. More studies are also needed to assess optimal care pathways and optimal strategy for diagnostic work-up. This is important not only in the high risk patient but also, as we have demonstrated, in low risk patients.
The epidemiology and prognosis of ‘fainting’ or syncope has puzzled physicians over the years. Is fainting dangerous? This is a question often asked by the patient—and the answer is ‘it depends on a lot of things’. The diverse pathophysiology of syncope and the underlying comorbidities of the patients play an essential role. In epidemiology these factors have major impact on the outcome of the patients. Until recently, even the definition of syncope, differed from one study to another which has made literature reviews difficult. Traditionally the data on epidemiology of syncope has been taken from smaller studies from different clinical settings with wide differences in patient morbidity. Through the extensive Danish registries we examined the characteristics and prognosis of the patients hospitalized due to syncope in a nationwide study. The aims of the present thesis were to investigate: 1) the use, validity and accuracy of the ICD-10 diagnosis of syncope R55.9 in the National Patient Registry for the use of this diagnosis in the epidemiology of syncope, 2) diagnostics used and etiology of a random selection of patients who had a discharge diagnosis of R55.9, 3) the incidence, prevalence and cardiovascular factors associated with the risk of syncope, 4) the prognosis in healthy individuals discharged after syncope, and 5) the prognosis of patients after syncope and evaluation of the CHADS2 score as a tool for short and long-term risk prediction. The first studies of the present thesis demonstrated that the ICD-10 discharge diagnosis could reliably identify a cohort of patients admitted for syncope and that the discharge code carried a high number of unexplained cases despite use of numerous tests. The last studies showed that syncope is a common cause for hospital contact in Denmark and that the risk of syncope is tightly associated with cardiovascular comorbidities and use of pharmacotherapy. Furthermore in patients with no comorbidities (or healthy individuals), syncope is a significant and independent prognostic factor of adverse cardiovascular outcome and death compared to the background population. Lastly evaluation of the CHADS2 score, as a tool for risk stratification, showed that it provided additional prognostic information on short and long-term cardiovascular mortality in syncope patients compared to controls.
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